

Anne Christine Dunn (right) has been appointed Commissioner of the Online Safety Act. (Credit: Fiji Government)



Controlling the internet in Fiji

By Jope Tarai
21 January 2019

In late 2017 the Fijian Government and its key officials called for the [regulation of Fiji's cyber space](#). By May 2018, Fiji's Parliament had passed the Online Safety Act, which was publicised as a law designed to protect Fijians against harmful online behavior, such as cyber stalking, cyber bullying, revenge porn and internet trolling. The Act came into effect on 1 January this year, and in late December the Government [announced](#) the appointment of a Commissioner to administer it.

Fiji's tumultuous political history has put immense pressure on its media freedom and landscape. Given this, blogs and social media have played the important role of providing Fijians with greater access to uncensored information. On the other hand, greater internet and online access has also brought an onslaught of cyber-related crimes and issues. As such, the Act must maintain a balance between not undermining free speech, while still providing safeguards against malicious online behavior.

The Act's claimed intent of promoting a safe online culture and environment has been lauded, but a fair amount of skepticism remains, with concerns regarding consultation, clarity, and the newly-appointed Commissioner.

The Bill was introduced in early March 2018, and public submissions were allowed from 27 March–4 May 2018. The [time span](#) for public consultations on the Bill was a mere one month and seven days, in which 24 submissions were collected in total. The consultation period was extremely short and inadequate. Much of the Act targets the use of digital devices and social media. Fiji's most [accessed and popularly used social media platform](#) via digital devices is Facebook. The estimated total number of Facebook accounts in Fiji at the end of 2018 was 550,000 accounts, an estimated 62% of Fiji's total population. Considering this, at least six to eight months for public consultations would have been more appropriate, along with a more publicised call for submissions.

The Act stipulates functions of the Online Safety Commission, such as investigating online-related complaints, but this is a [function already undertaken by the Fiji Police's Cyber Crime Unit](#). This raises the need for clarity, since it may lead to [the duplication of functions](#) and

risk exhausting publicly-funded resources. The Act also could have provided a guarantee that it would protect responsible free speech. The current administration has [repeatedly announced](#) that it is the [most genuinely democratic government in Fiji's history](#). Protecting online responsible free speech that critiques government decisions would be consistent with the government's rhetoric.

On 28 December 2018, Minister for Communications Aiyaz Sayed Khaiyum [announced](#) the appointment of Ann Christine Dunn as the Commissioner to administer the Online Safety Act. The decision has been perceived as a political appointment because Ann Christine Dunn was a FijiFirst candidate in Fiji's 2018 national elections. She worked closely and campaigned alongside the Minister for Communications, who is also the General Secretary for the FijiFirst party. The Commissioner's well-known political affiliation weakens public confidence in her ability to administer the Act with impartiality. In addition to this, the experience, credentials and merits of [Anne Dunn](#) for the role of Commissioner were not publicly disclosed.

On 2 January 2019, a day after the Act came into effect, the Commissioner clarified the role of the Commission in an [interview](#). The Commissioner stated that posts and older content reposted after 1 January, 2019 are covered by the Act and can be taken on as complaints. The offenses covered in the [Act](#) centre on posts that cause harm which is defined in the Act as "serious emotional distress". However, in the same interview the Commissioner [stated](#) "If you have nothing nice to say, don't say anything at all". This has raised more skepticism and questions for those who may not have "nice things to say" about the Commissioner's political party and its decisions as a government.

The contracted timeframe for public submissions and input, the fact that Fiji's existing Cyber Crime Unit is already tasked with policing vitriolic and harmful online use, and the fact that the first Commissioner appointed was a political candidate for Fiji's current ruling party, all raise questions of credibility. At this point, achieving any balance between ensuring responsible online free speech and providing safeguards against malicious online behavior seems unlikely.

About the author/s

Jope Tarai

Jope Tarai is an indigenous Pacific scholar and PhD student at the Australian National University.

Link: <https://devpolicy.org/controlling-the-internet-in-fiji-20190121/>

Date downloaded: 20 April 2024



Australian
National
University

The Devpolicy Blog is based at the Development Policy Centre, Crawford School of Public Policy, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University.