# **DEVPOLICY**BLOG

# Lazarus with a triple bypass: the 'political parties for democracy' program is back, and in the news

By Robin Davies 10 March 2016

It turns out that the Howard-era Australian Political Parties for Democracy Program (APPDP) was at some point quietly exhumed, reanimated and hung like an albatross around the neck of the Department of Finance.

Devpolicy <u>wrote</u> about this program in late 2013, and <u>welcomed</u> <u>news</u> of its demise in February 2014. But it wasn't permanently cut after all, just rendered invisible. Now it's <u>back</u> in the news, for what might be considered the wrong reasons.



An Australian worker removes Donald Trump campaign signs. Photo: YouTube

In a recent <u>article</u> on <u>Inside Story</u>, the ANU's Emeritus Professor Marian Sawer AO reported the results of some inquiries she made late last year about the reinstated program, to which there corresponds no line item in the Department of Finance's <u>2015-16 Portfolio Budget Statement</u>. She was told, 'details about the expenditure and the current APPDP deeds are not available publicly'.

That's bad enough given that, as Professor Sawer underlines, the program is intended to promote 'representative, accountable, inclusive and transparent democratic parties'.

But matters might be even worse. In its prior life, the APPDP was supposed to allocate at

## **DEVPOLICY**BLOG

least half of its funding to activities in favour of developing countries. That half was charged to the overseas aid budget, which is why the former AusAID was lumbered with the program's administration for a period after the Department of Finance was found, in an audit, to have mismanaged it.

The Minister for Foreign Affairs has made quite <u>emphatic</u>, if vague, commitments about transparency in the allocation of aid resources. If any significant portion of the reinstated APPDP is being silently charged to the aid budget, the lack of transparency should be doubly troubling.

In principle, there is something to be said for supporting the main Australian political parties—the Coalition parties and Labor but also the Greens—to build the capacity of political parties in at least our neighbouring developing countries. But, if this is to be done, it should be done through a dedicated, carefully designed, transparently implemented and independently evaluated program.

### About the author/s

### **Robin Davies**

Robin Davies is an Honorary Professor at the ANU's Crawford School of Public Policy and an editor of the Devpolicy Blog. He headed the Indo-Pacific Centre for Health Security and later the Global Health Division at Australia's Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) from 2017 until early 2023 and worked in senior roles at AusAID until 2012, with postings in Paris and Jakarta. From 2013 to 2017, he was the Associate Director of the Development Policy Centre.

 $Link: \ https://devpolicy.org/lazarus-triple-bypass-political-parties-democracy-program/$ 

Date downloaded: 24 April 2024



The Devpolicy Blog is based at the Development Policy Centre, Crawford School of Public Policy, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University.